

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

09/387,894 09/01/99 GUHA A 20721/0440 EXAMINER 024024 CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP 800 SUPERIOR AVENUE RAPER NUMB	O
CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP WILKINS III.H	
024024 CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP WILKINS III H	
CALFEE HALTER & GRISWOLD, LLP	
그는 도로 보면 하는데, 도로 보면 도로 보면 가는 이번 하는 사람들은 모든 모든 사람들은 사람들은 보다 되었다. 그는 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은	
SOD SUPERIOR AVENUE	<u></u>
	ĒR∷
SUITS 1400 Land Committee of the committ	
CLEVELAND DH 44114	
DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Case Number	Ctry	Sub Case	Action Due	Due Date	
•	•		2MO RESPONSE	22-Dec-2001	
2072104404	US		3MO RESPONSE	22-Jan-2002	
Action US FI	NAL O.	Α.	1ST EXTENSION	22-Feb-2002	
Type:			2ND EXTENSION	22-Mar-2002	DEA
Type.			FINAL EXTENSION	22-Apr-2002	NEULLI
			STATUS CHECK	22-May-2002	
			•	, •	OCT 2 5 2001
Verified:	· 			· .	

OCT 25 2001 T.L.B. IP. Dept.

Office Action Summary Parameter		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Examiner	,							
Harry D Wilkins, III 1742	Office Action Summary							
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations or term rangly ae wilder and proteined of 3 CFR 1.136(a). In or evert, however, may a reply be timely filed ### the pend for reply specified above is been bin hinty (00) stays, as neply within the statistory minimum of thinty (00) stays will be considered timely. ### the pend for reply specified above, the mandrum stationy period will approx and Wilderson (0) (MONTH's form the malling date of this communication. #### Pend for reply specified above, the mandrum station protein will apply and Wilderson (0) (MONTH's form the malling date of this communication. #### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 August 2001. #### Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 August 2001. #### This action is FINAL. #### This action is FINAL. #### Pend for reply specified above the mandrum state of the communication. #### Claim(s)								
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of lines may be available used the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In one event, however, may a reply be limitely filled between the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(b). In one event, however, may a reply be limitely filled between the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(b). In one event, however, may a reply be limitely filled between the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(b). In one event, however, may a reply be limitely filled between the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(b). In one event, however, may a reply be limitely filled between the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(b). In one event, however, may a reply be limitely filled between the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(b). In the provision of the provisio	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edemicina of time may be available under the provision of 37 CFR. 138(a). In a event, however, may a reply ted limit pill of after SN, 69 MONTES from the mailing date of this communication. If the period in party personal date is the his has thing to the communication of party prefile date is they have the provision of								
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3.5-12 and 15-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3.5-12 and 22-34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3.5-12 and 22-34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3.5-12 and 22-34 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3.5-12 and 22-34 is/are rejected. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filled on 01 September 1999 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ☐ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-3.5-12 and 15-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3.5-12 and 22-34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 September 1999 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	August 2001 .						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 1-3.5-12 and 15-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. FCFIVED Claim(s) is/are allowed. OCT 2 5 2001 Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 01 September 1999 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on □ is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. □ . 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 10 □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 50 □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-final.						
4) □ Claim(s) 1-3.5-12 and 15-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. RECEIVED Claim(s)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-21 is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s)	Disposition of Claims							
5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-12 and 15-34</u> is/are pending in							
5 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6 Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner.	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-21</u> is/are withdraw	n from consideration.	ECEIVED					
7)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 September 1999 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-12 and 22-34</u> is/are rejected.		UGI 2 5 2001					
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 September 1999 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 September 1999 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 01 September 1999 is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-982) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			, ,					
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			oved by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		aminer.						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Profitsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·— ·—							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Attachment(s) All Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Information						

Application/Control Number: 09/387,894

Art Unit: 1742

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claims 15-21 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: claims 15-21 recite an apparatus for die casting metal parts, and the original claims recite a tool for contacting a molten metal. Claims 15-21 would be classified in class 164, subclass 284. The original claims, 1-3, 5-10, as well as new claims 22-27 and 32-34 are classified in class 148, subclass 426. The original claims 11-12 and new claims 28-31 are classified in class 164, subclass 113. Because these inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 15-21 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/387,894

Art Unit: 1742

3. Claims 1-3, 5, 6-12 and 22-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Harkness et al.

Harkness et al disclose several Ni-Be alloys. One example contains 2 wt% Be, 0.5 wt% C and balance Ni and another contains 1.85 - 2.05 wt% Be, 0.4-0.6 wt% Ti and the balance Ni (pg. 423, Table 13). Harkness et al further disclose that the beryllium nickel casting alloys are used as tools (pg 423, col 1). Harkness et al disclose that the Ni-Be alloy can be unaged (pg. 426, Table 17).

- 2. In the present application, the Examiner finds that the taught alloy would have been expected to possess the ability to contact molten metal at elevated temperatures due to the elevated temperature strength (Fig 19).
- (With respect to claim 2, Harkness et al disclose that many of the casting alloys are used in molds (pg 423, col 1). It is the Examiner's position that it would have been within the expected skill of a routineer in the art to use the conventional Ni-Be alloys for molds in casting of metals because the Ni-Be alloys have been known to be used as molds and the Ni-Be alloys provide good high temperature strength.
- Regarding claims 3 and 5, Harkness et al disclose (see pg 426, Table 17) a thermal conductivity of 28 to 51.1 W/m-K (16.2-29.5 BTU/ ft-hr-°F).
- Regarding claims 6, 24 and 29, Harkness et al disclose a hardness of the Ni-Be alloy being less than 90% of its peak aged hardness (pg 424, fig 17).

Regarding claims 7, 25 and 30, Harkness et al do not disclose a Ni-Be alloy where the hardness is 75% or less than its peak aged hardness. According to fig 17, harkness et al disclose a hardness of about 80% of the peak aged hardness.

had Elen (b) Yestin

nviviÓ)

121

102.

103

Art Unit: 1742

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to find an optimum hardness, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Regarding claims 8, 26 and 31, Harkness et al disclose alloys containing C and Ti (pg 423, Table 13). Furthermore, Harkness et al disclose that the alloys can contain varying amounts of Cr, Mo, Al, Co and Ti (pg 426, col 1).

Regarding claims 9 and 27, Harkness et al disclose 2.0 wt% Be (pg 423, Table 3).

Regarding claim 10, since the amount of Be in Harkness et al is 2.0 wt%, the Examiner finds that the taught alloy would have been expected to possess an amount of Be being sufficient so that a continuous coating of beryllium oxide forms on the surface of the tool, but not so great that the alloy becomes brittle.

Regarding claim 11, Harkness et al do not teach the type of molten metal which can be used in the disclosed mold.

It is well known in the prior art that aluminum, magnesium, copper, zinc and their respective alloys are poured in molten form into a die and then allowed to solidify.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the mold of Harkness et al for solidification of aluminum, magnesium, copper, zinc and respective alloys because the Ni-Be alloys have high thermal conductivity and high elevated temperature strength.

100

3 (P)

102(1)

(d)(b)

113

Application/Control Number: 09/387,894

Art Unit: 1742

Regarding claim 12, Harkness et al disclose 2.0 wt% Be (pg 423, Table 13).

Regarding claims 22, since the amount of Be in Harkness et al is 2.0 wt%, the Examiner finds that the taught alloy would have been expected to possess an amount of Be being sufficient so that a continuous coating of beryllium oxide forms on the surface of the tool, but not so great that the alloy becomes brittle.

- Regarding claims 23, 28 and 32, Harkness et al disclose that the Ni-Be alloy can be unaged (pg. 426, Table 17).
- Regarding claims 33 and 34, Harkness et al disclose 2.0 wt% Be (pg 423, Table 13).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-34 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 09/387,894

Art Unit: 1742

Page 6

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Harry D Wilkins, III whose telephone number is 703-

305-9927. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00am-4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Roy V King can be reached on 703-308-1146. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for

regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

Harry D Wilkins, III

Examiner

Art Unit 1742

hdw October 18, 2001 BOY KING

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700